THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) and (b) Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

JNNURM projects for urban local bodies

2591. SHRI JANARDHANA POOJARY: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) with details of the grants released thereunder to States during last three years, year-wise and State-wise;

(b) whether the Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) would need to raise over Rs.10,000 crores from the market to meet their portion of the project costs under the JNNURM;

(c) whether only 7 ULBs have the required credit rating to approach the market; and

(d) if so, the details and the options available with the remaining ULBs to fund their projects, with special reference to Karnataka?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN) (a) The Government of India launched Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) on 3rd December, 2005 aiming at reforms driven, fast track, planned development of identified cities with focus on efficiency in urban infrastructure/services delivery mechanism, community participation and accountability of Urban Local Bodies (ULBs)/Parastatals towards their citizens. A sum of Rs.50,000 crore has been committed as Additional Central Assistance from Government of India under JNNURM for its two Sub-Missions, namely, Urban Infrastructure and Governance (Sub-Mission-I) and Basic Services for the Urban Poor (Sub-Mission-II) and two omnibus schemes, namely, Urban Infrastructure Development Scheme for Small and Medium Towns (UIDSSMT) and Integrated Housing and Slum Development Programme (IHSDP). The Mission is for a period of seven years beginning from 2005-06. Year-wise and State-wise release of funds are given in the Statements-I and II respectively.

(b) Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) are required to raise their share ranging from 10% to 50%, depending upon their population and other criteria. ULBs share can be met out from internal accruals, State Government grant or loan from special purpose funds maintained at city/state level, in addition to market borrowings.

(c) Presently an exercise of credit rating of 69 cities including 6 ULBs coming within Urban Agglomeration of Mission cities is being done under JNNURM.

(d) Under JNNURM the State/ULBs, including Karnataka, are required to bring necessary institutional changes to augment the resources of ULBs. Besides conventional sources, cities should take innovative measures to supplement their

resources. Importance of private sector participation to bridge the gap is also gaining momentum in implementation of projects. .

Statement-I

Year-wise and State-wise release of funds under submission for infrastructure and Governance (As on 03.09.2007*)*

(Rupees in lakh)

Sl. No.	Name of State/UT	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Andhra Pradesh	4472.50	4710.83	12717.15	21900.48
2	Arunachal Pradesh	0.00	0.00	2006.94	2006.94
3	Assam	0.00	0..00	791.26	791.26
4	Bihar	0.00	0.00	461.93	461.93
5	Chhattisgarh	0.00	4800.00	1272.80	6072.80
6	Goa	0.00	0.00		0.00
7	Gujarat	1844.00	15576.20	8958.06	26378.26
8	Haryana	0.00	1297.88	1339.84	2637.72
9	Himachal Pradesh	0.00	522.61		522.61
10	Jammu and Kashmir	0.00	2359.35	3539.03	5898.38
11	Jharkhand	0.00	0.00		0.00
12	Karnataka	0.00	10167.19	3748.85	13916.04
13	Kerala	0.00	4405.00		4405.00
14	Madhya Pradesh	474.29	11107.42	768.12	12349.83
15	Maharashtra	2219.79	41358.21	27587.11	71165.11
16	Manipur	0.00	0.00		0.00
17	Meghalaya	0.00	0.00		0.00
18	Mizoram	0.00	0.00		0.00
19	Nagaland	0.00	0.00		0.00
20	Orissa	0.00	120.26	5158.40	5278.66
21	Punjab	0.00	2241.75	1868.63	4110.38
22	Rajasthan	0.00	4146.93	1800.63	5947.56
23	Sikkim	0.00	0.00		000

1	2	3	4	5	6
24	Tamil Nadu	0.00	12913.28	11942.54	24855.82
25	Tripura	0.00	0.00		0.00
26	Uttar Pradesh	0.00	1860.47	2754.19	4614.66
27	Uttaranchal	0.00	0.00		0.00
28	West Bengal	0.00	8708.45	793.53	9501.98
29	Delhi	0.00	0.00		0.00
30	Pondicherry	0.00	0.00		0.00
31	A and N Islands	0.00	0.00		0.00
32	Chandigarh	0.00	0.00	1139.72	1139.72
33	D and N Haveli	0.00	0.00		0.00
34	Lakshadweep	0.00	0.00		0.00
15	Daman and Diu	0.00	0.00		0.00
	TOTAL:	9010.58	126295.83	88648. 73	223955.14

Statement-II

State-wise release of Additional Central Assistance (ACA)
(*as on* 3.09.07)

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Name of States	ACA (including incentive) released during 2005-06	ACA (including incentive) released during 2006-07	ACA (including incentive) released during 2007-08	Total release
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Andhra Pradesh	4919.68	25568.25	23546.05	54033.98
2	Assam	0.00	1363.93	0.00	1363.93
3	Bihar	0.00	3642.83	315.30	3958.13
4	Chhattisgarh	0.00	2447.46	0.00	2447.46
5	Gujarat	2444.18	6002.89	113.18	8560.25
6	Himachal Pradesh	0.00	357.33	392.11	749.44
7	Jammu and Kashmir	0.00	10100.00	2724.25	12824.25

1	2	3	4	5	6
8	Karnataka	0.00	8216.72	1501.70	9718.42
9	Kerala	0.00	3363.04	3128.40	6491.44
10	Madhya Pradesh	0.00	7554.75	343.91	7898.66
11	Maharashtra	0.00	11774.67	2024.94	13799.61
12	Orissa	0.00	2234.43	1435.43	3669.86
13	Rajasthan	1383.63	4300.70	213.54	5897.87
14	Tamil Nadu	0.00	12168.51	2878.55	15047.06
15	Uttar Pradesh	0.00	20534.15	3730.85	24265.00
16	West Bengal	0.00	5267.37	2508.47	7775.84
	TOTAL:	8747.49	124897.03	44856.68	178501.20

Costly unauthorised colonies

†2592. DR. PRABHA THAKUR: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether many costly and unauthorised residential colonies have been developed in Delhi, alongwith the names thereof;

(b) the number of people residing in such costly ,and unauthorised colonies at present, alongwith the details thereof;

(c) whether Government have formulated any scheme in this regard to regularise such unauthorised colonies;

(d) if so, the outlines thereof; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) There is no categorisation such as ''costly'' unauthorized colonies. However, three unauthorized colonies, namely (i) Anant Ram Dairy, (ii) Mahendru Enclave, and (iii) Sainik Farms have been indicated in Government records as colonies inhabited by affluent sections of Society.

(b) Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi has reported that no such survey has been conducted by it.

(c) to (e) The Government, *vide* notification dated 25.7.2006, had set up a Committee of Experts to *inter alia* look into aspects pertaining to unauthorized colonies inhabited by affluent sections of Society. The Committee has since submitted its report. Inter-departmental consultations on the recommendations of the Committee have been started.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.